IN THE IOWA DISTRICT COURT FOR JOHNSON COUNTY

S.K., a legally incapacitated Minor by and through his Conservator, THOMAS T. TARBOX, Esq., Plaintiffs, vs. MERCY HOSPITAL, IOWA CITY, IOWA d/b/a MERCY HOSPITAL d/b/a MERCY IOWA CITY, and OBSTETRIC AND GYNECOLOGIC ASSOCIATES OF IOWA CITY AND CORALVILLE, P.C. Defendants.		LAW NO. LACV081421 FORM OF VERDICT
We find the following verdict on the question No. 1: Was Defendant Mercy Ho Answer "yes" or "no." ANSWER: Yes [If your answer is no, do not answer No. 2.]	spit	
Question No. 2: Was the negligence of De	fend	ant Mercy Hospital a cause of any item of
damage to Plaintiff?		
Answer "yes" or "no."		
ANSWER: Yes		
[If your answer is "no" to No. 1 or 2, do no	t ass	sign any percentage of negligence to
Mercy Hospital in question No. 5]		
Question No. 3: Was Obstetric and Gyneco	olog	ic Associates of Iowa City and Coralville,
P.C. negligent?		

Answer "yes" or "no."

ANSWER: Yes

[If your answer is "no," do not answer No. 4.]

Question No. 4: Was the negligence of Obstetric and Gynecologic Associates of Iowa
City and Coralville, P.C. the cause of any item of damage to Plaintiff?

OLONG PALESO

Answer "yes" or "no."

ANSWER: Yes

[If your answer is "no" to No. 3 or 4, do not assign any percentage of negligence to Obstetric and Gynecologic Associates of Iowa City and Coralville, P.C., in question No. 5.]

Question No. 5: Using 100% as the total combined negligence of Defendant Mercy

Hospital and Defendant Obstetric and Gynecologic Associates of Iowa City and Coralville, P.C.,
which was the cause of Plaintiff's damage, what percentages of such combined negligence do
you assign to the Defendant Mercy Hospital and to the Defendant Obstetric and Gynecologic

Associates of Iowa City and Coralville, P.C.?

ANSWER: Defendant Mercy Hospital

Defendant Obstetric and Gynecologic
Associates of Iowa City and Coralville, P.C.

50
%
TOTAL 100%

Question No. 6: State the amount of damages sustained by the Plaintiff caused by a defendant's fault as to each of the following items of damage. Do not take into consideration any reduction of damages due to plaintiff's fault. If the plaintiff has failed to prove any item of damage, or has failed to prove that any item of damage was proximately caused by a defendant's fault, enter 0 for that item.

1. Future medical and/or custodial care expenses	s_42,203,818
2. Loss of future earning capacity	\$ 11698731
3. Past loss of function of the mind and/or body	\$ 1,050,000
4. Future loss of function of the mind and/or body	\$ 20,700,000
5. Past pain and suffering	\$
6. Future pain and suffering	\$ 70,700,000
TOTAL (add the separate items of damage)	\$ 97,402,549

Question No. 7: For each of the following elements of damage, state the amount which you find Defendants have proved has been or will be replaced or paid by insurance, or by governmental, employment, or service benefit programs, or from any other source except the assets of Plaintiff or of the members of Plaintiff's immediate family. If Defendants have failed to prove that any item of damage will be replaced or paid by a source other than the assets of the Plaintiff or of the members of the Plaintiff's immediate family, enter 0 for that item.

1. Future Medical Expenses	\$ <i>D</i>
2. Loss of Future Earning Capacity	<u>\$</u> O
	Wallbur T. Watte
į.	Foreperson* *To be signed only if verdict is unanimous.
T	797 79 27 79
	716
	21
	PM L
	30

edby clerk to Swippothications